	Stephen Harmon 22301 West Alsop Road Wasilla, Alaska 99654 STEPHEN HARMON Appellant VS STATE OF ALASKA Appellee STATE OF ALASKA Appellee P(22/2022 REFUSED FOR FILING The Motion is most, The Motion is	:1				
	MOTION FOR THE COURT OF APPEALS					
	A.) "CONVERT" the appellant Harmons "MOTION FOR RECONSID- ATION OF COURTS ORDER OF 8/30/2022"Dening" [Motion for NEW ACTION Rule 60(b) to correct Clerical Errors]					
-	That "was" timely filed on Sept.2,2022 into the Court. "NOTE"(no determination on yet nor any oppossition filed by state) "Into a"					
	B.) "A MOTION FOR REHEARING OF THISS ORDER" "BASED ON" and					
	pursuant to authorization of: App.Rule 521 CONSTRUCTION "authorizes the Relaxation of Rules to advance justice "and "Otherwise would work an injustice." and C.) Pursuant to: App.Rule 506 GROUND(s)(a)(1)(2)(3)					
	This "EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE""overlooked or failed to consider the statutes/court rule Mandates From the SUPREME COURT TO THIS COURT & "ALL Alaska Courts/Staff" of [Admin.Rule 46(b)(see attached EXHIBIT Letter "E" on pg.2 lines 16-18 & pg.10 lines 2-3)] and the FEDERAL LAW MANDATES to "ALL" STATE and FEDERAL COURT(s) that the non-compliance with is a FEDERAL Crime for failure to comply with (18USCS 505 SEALS of Court"s", signatures or COURT OFFICERS(see attached EXHIBIT Letter "E" on pg.8 lines 16 thru 15)]. This "Exculpatory Evidence" has come to light to Prose Litigant					
	that is not a Attorney and "MANDATES" this Court comply with or					
	is a violation of State and Federal laws and is punishable by 5-					
	years and fine(against the Court Officals [i.e.clerks/Judges etc.					
	as loose imunity when knowing deny access/due process as done)					
	Alaska Administrative Rule 46(b) and Federal Statute 18USCS 505					
	SEALS of Courts, signatures or Court Officers (SHALL BE FINED/IMPRISONED. ")					
	and D.) MOVES this Court to accept the attached EXHIBIT Letter					

```
"E" in support to these REQUESTS Motion for "CONVERTING to" a
1
    "MOTION FOR REHEARING on GROUND(s)(a)(1)(2)(3) as outlined herein
2
    EXHIBIT LETTER "E" and pursuant to authorization App.R.521..."to
3
4
    advance justice..." and ... "would otherwise would work a-continued
5
    injustice..." in/against Appellant Harmon.
6
7
       Appellant Harmon "Acknowledges" and "does not oppose" but in
8
               E.) MOVES/MOTIONS and \underline{\text{"REQUEST"}} that this Court \underline{\text{GRANTS}}
    FACT
9
    this Motion and GRANTS the Appellee: 1.) the right to responde &
    2.) GRANTS the Appellee to have "additional 5 pages for total of
10
11
    10"; 3.) GRANTS the Appellee (14) days from the date of GRANTING this
12
    Motion to consider the attached Exculpatory Evidence/as a Rehearing
13
    MOTION and 4.) if this Court deems as it did last time inother
    Rehearing Motion on "different claim/cause" "ORDERED sua-sponte
14
    the Appellee SHALL Responde"(not invited but was manadted to)
15
    as this Adminstrative Rule 46(b) "reuires" "ALL"Court Officers
16
    (i.e. Clerks/deputies, <u>Judges/Atornies[Litigant or STATE]</u>) to
17
    "COMPLY" and outlines this for "ALL" Courts (STATE or FEDERAL)
18
    or is a criminal act and punishable up to 5 years and fines on/All
19
20
    Court Officers (State or FEderal) "AND HAVE NOT" thus warrants
    this Court of Appeals(CHief Judge Allard/Judge Harbison/Judge
21
    Terrell) to GRANT and consider and alos effects the Appellee as a
22
23
    Court Officer that has knowingly and willingly participated in the
    criminal acts listed in Admin.R.46(b) & "18 USCS 505 SEALS of Court"
24
                                                ("lists/punishment for nor
                            (mandates)
25
                                                 compliance with manadtes for
                                                 ALL courts (state & Federal)
26
   submitted this date of Sept.16,2022
                                           certify the above is True/correct
                                           and mailed to Appellee Att. Nancy
                                           Simel 310 K St.-Ste.702, Anch. AK.
                                           99501 this date also.
```

	T
1 2	Stephen Harmon 22301 West Alsop Road Wasilla, Alaska 99654 STEPHEN HARMON Appellant STATE OF ALASKA
3	vs Court of Appeals No.A-13760
4	STATE OF ALASKA (inconnection no.4FA-13-2849CI)
5	Appellee (inconnection no. 4FA-S-92-2481CR)
6	
7	ORDER
8	The Court Herein ORDERS:
9	GRANTS the Appellant Motion to Convert "Reconsideration
10	Motion" to a "REHEARING MOTION" and consider EXHIBIT Letter "E".
11	
12	GRANTS the Appellee to responde and is ORDERED SHALL responde
13	within 14-days of this ORDER being GRANTED.
14	Furture ORDERS of:
15	The Appellee SHALL be allowed up to 10 pages to Responde/-
16	Reply.
17	The Court will consider the Attachment labled EXHIBIT Letter
18	"E" and will make a "Findings of Fact and Conclussion of Law" in
19	on the Filed claim/CAuse filed by the Appellant Harmon NEW ACTION
20	RULE 60(b) correct Clerical Errors/Court Offical in when it issues
21	its ORDER on this MATTER.
22	signed
23	Chief Judeg Allard Judge Harbinson
24	Judeg Terrell DATED:
25	adek terrerr
26	Dated: Clerk/Deputy Clerk

Stephen Harmon 22301 West Alsop Road Desgaf Wasilla, Alaska 99654 IN THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA 9/22/2022 REFUSED FOR FILING 1 STEPHEN HARMON This file/case is closed. Petitioner 2 Appen Ryon Mondannery Lighte OF 3 VS Supremne Court No. A-13760 cc.N.Simel 4 STATE OF ALASKA Extibit/ATTAChment Letter "E" Respondant 5 Trial Court No. 4FA-13-2849CI 6 Court of Appeals No. A-13760 KEUEIVL 7 8 SEP 2 0 2022 PETITION FOR HEARING APPELLATE COUR OF THE STATE OF ALLS 9 10 Appealing Appeal Court ORDER DENING- NEW ACTION MOTION Rile 60(b) MOTION's" WOID JUDGEMENT"s" (to correct Clerical Errors pursuant APP.519 for "creating 11 and Maintianing non-compliance with Statutory/Court Rule Mandates "SEALS" and "PROCESS" resulting in the Denial of "ACCES to Court"and "Due process"). 12 "case of First Impression" 1 13 VRA and APP.R.513.3 CERTIFICATION 14 I certify that this document and its attachments do not contain (1) the Name of a sexual offense listed in AS.12.61.140 or (2) a residence or business add-15 ress or telephone number of a victim of a Witness to any offense unless it is address used to identify the place of the crime or it is an address or telperone 16 number in a transcript of a court proceeding and disclosure of the information was ordered by the Court. I furture certify pursuant to APP.R.513 that the font 17 is a prestige 10 wheel using a Prison typewriter swintec 2410. 18 19 SUMMARY OF THE ARGUEMENT AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF 20 "case of First Impresssion"1 (claim/cause-SEALS canded Process Mandates not complied with-Resulting in denial of Dueproces/Acces Court) 21 I/Stepehen Harmon Prsoe Petitioner, on July 18,2022 at aprox. 22 time of 11:00 am I/Harmon called the Alaska Appeals Court Clerk 23 Office (907)264-0612 and spoke with a deputy Clerk (who shared) 24 the follwoing with me w hen I callled to inquire on A.) if the 25 Court/Clerks Office had the Court "SEALS" and B.) why they were 26 and had not being aaplying/using them on incoming-thru and out of (1) Mead v State Op. No. 731 P.2d ALASKA 1971... Where a convicted defendant presents important questions of Substantial criminal law NEVER BEFORE decided in the state, The Court will consider the merits of the issues even though the Petitioner had not asserted his claim in prior Motions...

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

341.

the Court "Verify" and "Validate" that is/was "Lawfuly accepted for filings/was lawfuly filed"into Court and proceedings "lawfuly" "before" the Court and "Judges" so are "lawfuly" verify and have Litigant and the proceedings before the Court and "Judges" and for to determine "if" "Hearing and determining lawfuly filings or proceedings or not "lawfuly" to issue any "lawful and binding Judgement or ORDER.

The Clerk of Courts for the Supreme Court/Appellate Court "have" verified and stated on July 18,2022 (aprox 11:00am-prsion phones calls are recorded to verify this Conversation/Facts) see the "Affdavidt" filed on pg.16 of RULE 60(b) NEW ACTION MOTION on (lines 24 thru 26)) stateing (relevant part)..."They/Clerks for the Court Court "determined" "they" did not think they "needed to apply/use SEALS of the Court or Process "ALL" the times/filngs..... This Determination violates Statute and Court Rule Mandates for SEALS & PROCESS Mandtes and Admin Rule 46(b) Alaska Supreme Court Authority "must be recognozed" reads:

..."46(b)..."The vesting of "ALL" rule making authority in Alaska Supreme Court SHALL BE recognized...".
The Clerks/Deputies "admitting" they do not recognize the Supreme Court Rule making authority here in statement for the "Court Systems of Alaska" on July 18,2022 convesration with Harmon and "shows" when Harmon filed the NEW ACTION before the Court of Appaeals and the Judges (Chief Judge Allard/Harbison/Allard) made arware of these Clarical Errors and Denial of Due process and the Access to the Courts and the "Sattutory and Administrative Rule Mandates" not being complied by "any" Court Offical and the Court

ATTACHMENT/Exhibit LEPKI 1/2"

*	Letter "B" and Grand Jury Indictment Letetr "C" NON have "ANY" SEAL OR PROCESS Stutorly MANDATED/COURT RULE MANDATED to have accepted and or have HARMON "Brought" and "HEARD" "LAwfuly" "ANY" "TIME" "before" "ANY" Court or "Issued" "ANY" "Lawful determination/determined ORDER by/thru "ANY" SEAL "AND" PROCESS to have "LAWFULY BINDING IN?OR?ON HARMON LAWFULY TO DATE. Total denial of ALL of the process and access to the County lawful To Date.
1	due process and access to the Courts lawfuly to date. Cole Cover ALL "instead" shows and actions of maintaing(s) the "knowingly" and
2	
3	"intentional" denial of due process and access to the Court and
4	any proceeding "Lawfuly for Litigant Harmon or in or out of for
5	for "any" "Lawful" Judgement or Order and "is" an "abuse of"
	Discretionary authority of the Court Officals (completely by ALL)
6	none Recognizing this Court Rule Makeing authority (SEALS and
7	PRCESS mandates) and these ERRORS "Clerical Errors" being Cre-
8	ated and Maintained" "BY ALL" Court Staff and Includes Judges is
9	in violation of Judical Canons "SPecificaly Mandateing" this not
10	happend but to: (reads relevant parts)
11	CANON 3 (A)Primacy of Judicial Duites 'A judge's Judicial
12	duties <u>icludes the duties</u> of the judge's office prescribed by law"
13	(B).Adjudicative Responsibilities.
14	(7) A Judge shall accord to every person the
15	rights to be heard according to the law" continues of
16	""A judge SHALL MAKE reasonable efforts to see that law clerks and other court staff
17	caryinbg out similar function under Judge's supervision DO NOT VIOLATE THE PROVISIONS OF
18	SECTION"
19	I/Harmon then proceeded to prepare and File (after this Con-
20	versation witht the Clerk and her shareing the "determination for"
21	the Court by the Clerk (with out rule making power or authority)
22	"They determined think do not have to use/apply All the time
23	thought shared there is Mandtes to this Effecte/requirements by
24	HARMON to clerk in this Conversation" Harmon Filed a "NEW ACT-
25	TION Rule 60(b)pursuant to also App.R.519 for "Non-Compliance with
26	STATUES/ADMIN RULES asking the VOding of EXHIBITS Letter "A thru
	C" (Ct. APP. June 22, 2022 ORDER/Letter "A" and Judgement & Committment Order
	Page 3 SEE * Angu's Page 3

These Proceedings and Judgements and ORDERS and Indictment are "invaild and Void" for "Non-compliance with and violation of the Stautory Mandates "to" the Clerk/deputy Clerks of:

- a.) A.S.22.05.060 SEALS OF COURT
- b.) A.S.22.07.100 PROCESS(Court of Appeals) and
- c.) A.S.22.10.080 PROCESS(Superior Court) and
- d.) Adminstration Rule 4(b) SEALS OF COURT.

These "Statutory MANDTES and COURT MANDATES" for the "Clerk and Deputy Clerk" to and for "Specific" compliance to a.) Lawfuly "accept a filing by a litigant or court/staff and b.) for lawful Validation for the process/proceedings and c.) for the lawful consideration and determination and issueing and lawful enforcement of any Judgement/Order by the Clerk/Deputy Clerk or Judge or Judicial Official and if not done then these action(s) have and due deny the litigant "lawful access to", "lawfuy access thru the court/proceeding and admindicating process, and to lawful out of the Court/proceeding for lawful enforcement of the Judgement and Order.

Resulting in: the Petitioner Harmon denial of Substantive constitutional rights to and access to Court/Proceedings to be a.)Lawfuly Heard and B.) Tmely Heard and C.)Meanifuly Heard which is denial of Due process Art.1/sec.7 AK. and Federal Const.14th Amend.

The "absent" of the 1.) SEALS of the COURT and 2.) The PROCESS the theat mandates the use/and/application of the "SEALS" of thea Court in every/on every filing and Court Order or Judgement; thus is



"invaild and Void" Judgements and ORDERS and I/Harmon was never lawfuly brought and charged or indicted or heard or have a lawful Judgement or Committment Order issued in/on Harmon these the Appeals Court Memorandum and Opinion Exhibit Letetr "A", and Committment and Judgement ORDER Exhibit letter "B" and Indictment form the Grand Jury EXHIBIT Letter "C" are Void and inVaild and require the VOID of each and the Imediate and unconditional release form any furture imprisonment under or on any of the charges and sentence and with prejudice; as the Action by the Clerk's/Deputies and Court (i.e judges) are intentional and Knowingly creating and maintain g the denial of non-compliance of STAUTORY AND COURT RULE MANDATES that denies and assist in the Illegal and unlawful detention of Harmon.

ARGUEMENT

"case of First Impression" 1

The Alaska Supreme Court has "specificaly" Prescribed and "prescribed as a Mandate for the Clerk/Deputy Clerks" of Court Rules setting out "Specific" stept/Mandates the Clerk/Deputy Clerk must use and apply the "SEALS OF COURT" and "PROCESS" and Administration of such so to "Lawfuly VALIDATE/VERIFY access to the Court/thru the Court and out of the Court or the proceedings/filin Judgements or ORDERS and Dertmination is usued thru such are not lawful and the litigant has not access to court/thru or out of the Court or the benefits on a litigant lawfuly.

The Clerks of the Alaska Appeals Court (Appellate and Supreme)

(Z)

Lester ME

⁽¹⁾ Mead v State Op.no.731 P.2d ALASKA 1971)... Where a convicted defendant presents important questions of substantial criminal law NEVER BEFORE decided in the State, The Court will consider the merits of the issues even though the Petitioner had not asserted his claim in prior motins..."

	§
1	on July 18,2022 at aprox 11:00 am "specificaly" stated and phone
2	call is recorded thru the prison phone system to verify that the
3	Clerk's of Court/Deputies determined they "do not think they
4	are or were required to use/apply the SEAL or PROCESS all the
5	time"or on ALL business in thru and out of the Court to/for Law-
6	ful validation/Verification and lawful heard and determined and
7	issued Judgement or ORDERS.
8	The Clerks of Court "donot have rule makeing authority or
9	power".
10	The Statutes and Court Rule for SEAL and PROCESS donot allow:
11	for STATUTES OR RULES not to be complied with and when are they
12	are denial of lawful access to the Court and due process rights
13	which are fundamental Foundational substantive Rights denied
14	opnely and knowingly by the Court/Clerks against Harmon.
15	Ādministraive Rule 46 (b) reads/mandates of:
16	""The vesting of <u>ALL</u> rulemaking auhtority in the Alaska Supreme Court <u>SHALL</u> <u>BE</u> <u>RECOGNIZED"</u>
17	The Clerk of Courts own words"we do think we have to"
18	shows the intent of not recognizing the Sureme Court Rules of:
L9	A.S.22.05.060 SEALS of the Court"s"
20	"prescribed by the Alaska Supreme Court to "ALL""clerks/deputies"
21	purusuant to ALASKA ADMINISTRATION RULE 4 SEALS OF COURTS (a)(b)(c)
22	"a.) SEAL OF THE COURT OF APPEALS
23	The Seal of the Court of Appeals <u>is</u> a "Vignette of the Offical Flag of the state" "with"
24	"the words" "SEAL OF THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF ALASKA" surrounding the "Vignette"
25	b.) SEAL OF THE TRIAL COURTS
6	The Seal of the Trial Court " <u>Is</u> "a "Vignette of the Official Flaf of the State" "with" "the words" "SEAL OF THE TRIAL COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA surrounding the "Vignette"
	ATTAChment/Exhibit Letter E' Page 6

..."c.) Possesion of the SEALS, the Clerk of the Court or if not clerk 1 , judge or magistrate shall keep possesion of the "Physical" 2 SEAL of the Court. The SEAL of the Courts may be applied mannullay or programed to APPEAR on the Court Generated 3 "Automatically..." Must "Appear on "ALL" documents in/out of the Court to be 4 5 lawful and enforcable or not lawfuly before the court or thru or 6 out of the Court lawful and enforcable lawfuly. A.S.22.07.100 PROCESS Process of the Court of Appeals SHALL BE in the name of 8 the State of Alaska, signed by the clerk or court or deputy clerk when issued. 9 SEALED witht the SEAL OF COURT and returnable According 10 to the RULE PRESCRIBED BY THE SUPREME COURT 11 Prescribed "specificaly" in Administration Rule 4 reads 12. and Mandates of: ... "(a) SEAL OF COURT OF APPEAL The SEAL of the Court of Appeals IS 13 a "Vignette of "Official Flag of the State" "With words" 14 "SEAL OF THE COURT OF THE APPEALS OF THE STATE OF ALASKA" 15 surounding the "Vignette" "each" Court (i.e District/Trial/Appeal/Supreme) has their 16 17 own SEAL that is Mandated to be used/applied in/on "ALL" in/out 18 Court Business or it is not lawful or the Litigant has not been 19 brought or before the Court or thru and out of it lawfuly. 20 These Errors of the Clerks (clerical Errors) "are Clerical 21 Errors" and are correctable thru a Rule 60(b)VOID JUDGEMENT MOTION 22 to VOID the filings and pleading/Judgements and ORDERS 23 Criminal Rule Proc.43.1 defines PLAIN ERROR of: 24 ..."Plain Errors or defects affecting substantial rights may be notices although they were not brought to the attention of 25 th Court..." ..."a judgement is void where there the Court, where there 26 was a failure to comply with such requirements as are necessary for the vaild exercise of power by the Court..." ("Denardo v Anchorage 775 P.2d 515) ATTACH MENT (Exhibit) Letter En Condition parties i.E. CLEVES AVS

TO Show cleared OF FER. CONST 4473 DUE-PROCESS ARE

The federal Law "courts" have similar MANDATES use/application of SEALS and PROCESS reads:

28 USCS 1691 SEAL and Teste of Process

all writs and process <u>issuing</u> from a <u>court</u> of the United States <u>Shall</u> be under <u>SEAL</u> of <u>the Court</u> and signed by the clerk thereof...

The Federal law goes on and Defines when a individual that does not comply with SEAL MANDTAES and PROCESS and what the Punishment is in the Federaal Courts of: Live Feleral Federal

18 USCS 505 SEALS of Cours; Signatures or Court Officers

...'Who ever forges the signature of any judge, register, or other officier of Any Court of the United States or any Teritory or forges or counterfeitds the SEAL of ANY SUCH COURT, or knowingly concurs in using any such forged or counterfiet signature or SEAL, for the purpose of authenticating any proceeding or document or tenders in evidence any such Proceeding or document with False or counterfiet signature of any such judge, register, or other officer or a false or counterfiet of teh Court, subscribed or attached thereto knowing such signature or seal to be false or counterfiet;

SHALL BE FINED under this title or imprisoned not more than five years or both..."

"Perjury" is defined also by"

U.S. v Roberts 308 F.3d 1147 [HN#10]

... The Test for <u>Materiality is</u> whether the false statement <u>was</u> <u>capable</u> of influencing or misleading a <u>tribunal on any</u> <u>proper matter of inquirey</u>.

Even if the false statement failed toinflunce the <u>tribunal</u>, it is sufficent if it was capable of influence the <u>Tribunal</u> on the issue before it...

Alaska Appellate Rule 519 Clerical Mistakes

authorizes this Request and Relief as out lines and reads:

Clerical mistakes in judgements, orders or other parts of the records and errors in the Records arising from oversight or OMMISSION may be corrected by the Appellate Court at any time of its own initiative and after such notice, if any as the Court Oreders or on Motionm of any party abnd after such notice if any as the Court ORDERS..."

ATTACHMENT/Exhibit Letter Page

1	and the Civ.Rule Proc.60(b) Void Judgements authorizes this aski	า
2	and Granting as outlines and reads:	
3	"(3)Fraud (whether heretifore denominated intrinsic or	
4	an adverse party:	
5	(4) the Judgement is VOID (5) or is no longer equitable that the judgement should	3
6	haveprosepective application; or (6) any other reason justifying relief from the OPERATION	
7	or the Judgement	_
8	entertain an independent action to relieve a party	
9	from a judgement, order or procededing	
10	Alaska Supreme Court determined State v Maxwell 6.P.3d 733(HN#1	0
11	Judgement cannot gain validity simply by the	-
12	passsage of time"	
13	and the U.S.Supreme Court determined Nasarallh v Barr 140 & CT	ı
14	Emplisis "a court must adhere to statutory TEXT"	
15	Your Honors the Clerks/Deputy Clerks and the Appellate Court	
16	and Trial Courts "are" required to comply with the "Statutory"	
17	TEXT/Mandates of SEALS and PROCESS and have not thus resulting in	
18	commiting Plain Error and denial of Harmons Constitutional rights	
19	of Due process and access "lawfuly" to and thu and out of The	
20	Alaska Courts and have not to date. Emplisis	
21	The Indictment is seriously flawed as the Commitment and Judge-	
22	ment ORDER and all other pleadings and ORDERS as are not lawful	
23	determined or issued pursuant to Alaska STautes and Court Rules	
24	for Court/Clerks to comply with and Judges(Appellate) known this	
	error in the "NEW ACTION" filed with the Court and did not reuire	
_ 8	any compliance with (seethe Issued /ORDER void of and SEAL/Process. Appealing herein	•
	ATTACHMENT (Exhibit Letter "E"	

Finaly: The Respondant(State) "has not" emphisis "has not" to date "in any" Filing a.)"denied or disputed" or b.) "oppossed" these "FACTS" or MANDATES/Court Rule MANDATES nor the 1 CONCLUSION 2 Your Honors Adminstrative Rule 46(b) specificaly Manadates (b)..."relevant part reads" 3 ... "The Vesting of ALL rulemaking authority in the Alaska 4 Court SHALL BE RECOGNIZED... 5 and the Clerks/Deputies have "knowingly and Intentional" stated they do not think they have to comply "ALL" the time with the PROCESS or SEAL Statutes/Court rules thus they "showing they are willywill not erecognizing your authority or mandates and resulting in the denial of Harmon's due process rights and access to and thru and out of the Court lawfuly and the Judges made aware and allows this continued violaiton of Statutory mandates "specificaly" directed 11 12 to and for ONLY clerks and depties is showing of the Judical abuse of discretionary authority and assiting the denial of Due process 13 rights of Harmon and is substantial rights violation/denial by 14 the "entire court/system" resulting the Pleadings/Judgement and 15 16 committment ORDER and indictment of the Charges and sentences should be granted VOID completely and with prejudice and the imedate and unconditional release from any furture imprisonment or from any reindictment of any of these charges. (Emplisa) For the foregoing reasons, this Court should accept this Petitoin under Alaska Appellate Rule 304(a)(b)(c)(d) and because This Case/cause is a "case of First Impression" (i.e court/agents Clerks/deputies and Appellate Judge's "not recognizing Suprmeme Authority") and Alaska Supreme Court determined Mead v state Op.No.731 P.2d (ALASKA 1971) ... where a convicted defendant presents important questions of substantial law never before decided in the state , the Court will consider the Merits of the issues even though the Petitoner had not asserted his his claim in prior motions... Dated ATTACHMENT / EXLIBIT LETKY 'E' Stephen Harmon Prose Petitioner

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26